1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 ANTHONY TORRES, No. C 11-2638 JSW (PR) 8 Plaintiff, ORDER OF SERVICE 9 v. 10 G. LEWIS; MATTHEW CATE; G. KELLEY 11 12 Defendant. 13 14 INTRODUCTION 15 Plaintiff, a California prisoner incarcerated at Pelican Bay State Prison ("PBSP") has filed this pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His application to 16 17 proceed in forma pauperis is granted in a separate order. This Court now reviews the 18 complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, dismisses certain claims, finds other claims 19 cognizable, and orders the complaint served upon Defendants. 20 STANDARD OF REVIEW 21 Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners 22 seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 23 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the 24 complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint "is frivolous, malicious, or 25 fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a 26 defendant who is immune from such relief." *Id.* § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be 27 liberally construed. Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 28 1990).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement

1 | 2 | of t | 3 | nec | 4 | is a | 5 | (20 | 6 | deta | 7 | 'ent | 8 | reci | 9 | be e | 10 | Two | 11 | "en | 12 | plea | 13 | 699 | 14 | 15 | that

of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not necessary; the statement need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds upon which it rests."" *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1974. Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff alleges that for a four month period, between June 20 and October 20, 2010, PBSP was subject to a "modified lockdown" in which the amount of time he and other inmates were allowed outdoors for exercise was reduced from ten hours per week to three and a half hours per week. Exercise is one of the basic human necessities protected by the Eighth Amendment, such that the "long-term" deprivation of outdoor exercise to prisoners is unconstitutional. *LeMaire v. Maass*, 12 F.3d 1444, 1457-58 (9th Cir. 1993). The decisions of this circuit do not indicate that the amount of exercise Plaintiff allegedly received – three and a half hours per week of exercise over a four month period -- is insufficient under the Eighth Amendment. *Cf. May v. Baldwin*, 109 F.3d 557, 565 (9th Cir. 1997) (no outdoor exercise at all for 21 days not enough to state

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
) 2	

24

25

26

27

28

Eighth Amendment claim); *cf. also Thomas v. Ponder*, 611 F.3d 1144, 1151 (9th Cir. 2010) (fourteen-month deprivation of outdoor exercise sufficient to implicate Eight Amendment); *Pierce v. County of Orange*, 526 F.3d 1190, 1212 (9th. Cir. 2008) (ninety minutes per week of exercise does not comport with Eighth Amendment standards); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1132-33 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (no outdoor exercise for 6-1/2 weeks implicates Eighth Amendment); *Allen v. Sakai*, 48 F.3d 1082, 1087-88 (9th Cir. 1994) (no outdoor exercise for six weeks implicates Eighth Amendment claim). Consequently, Plaintiff's allegations regarding the temporary reduction in his exercise time do not state a cognizable claim for relief.

Plaintiff also alleges that there are no fire sprinklers in PBSP's Administrative Segregation Unit ("ASU"), where he was housed formerly, and that the prison as a whole does not have an evacuation plan for tsunamis despite being located in a tsunami "zone." When liberally construed, these allegations are sufficient to state a cognizable claim that Defendants were deliberately indifferent to his safety, in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim is DISMISSED IN PART as described above.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint and all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon Defendant: Matthew Cate at the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation in Sacramento, California; and upon Greg Lewis, Warden, and Lieutenant G. Kelley at Pelican Bay State Prison.

The Clerk shall also mail a courtesy copy of the complaint and this order to the California Attorney General's Office.

The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff.

3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

a. No later than **ninety** (90) **days** from the date this order is filed,

Defendants shall either file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion,
or a notice to the Court that they are of the opinion that this matter cannot be resolved by
dispositive motion. The motion shall be supported by adequate factual documentation
and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

All papers filed with the Court shall be promptly served on the Plaintiff.

b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the court and served upon defendants no later than thirty days from the date of service of the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING," which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)." *See Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003)

- c. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen** (**15**) **days** after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.
- d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.
- 4. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further Court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 5. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **five** days prior

to the deadline sought to be extended. 6. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant, or Defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendant or Defendant's counsel. 7. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: <u>July 15, 2011</u> Afrey & White JEFFREY S. WHITE United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5	ANTHONY TORRES, Case Number: CV11-02638 JSW		
6	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
7	V.		
8	G. LEWIS et al,		
9	Defendant.		
10			
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.		
12			
13	That on July 15, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.		
14			
15			
16			
17	Anthony Torres Pelican Bay State Prison		
18	P.O. Box 7500 #K59800		
19	Crescent City, CA 95532 Dated: July 15, 2011		
20	Dated: July 15, 2011 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk		
21	By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.

You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

If defendants file a motion to dismiss and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.